EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

AMAZON.COM AND AMAZON DATA SERVICES, INC.,) Civil Action) No. 1:20-CV-484-LO-TCB Plaintiffs,) July 9, 2021 v.) 10:00 a.m. WDC HOLDINGS, et al., Defendants.

> TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE THERESA C. BUCHANAN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

Elizabeth Petrela Papez, Esq.

Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP (DC) 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-5306 202-955-8500

Fax: 202-831-6055

Email: Epapez@gibsondunn.com

Patrick Friel Stokes, Esq.

Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP (DC) 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-5306 202-955-8500

Fax: 202-467-0539

Email: Pstokes@gibsondunn.com

Claudia Maria Barrett, Esq.

Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP (DC) 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-5306

202-955-8500

Email: Cbarrett@gibsondunn.com

APPEARANCES: (Cont.)

For the Plaintiffs: Michael Robert Dziuban, Esq.

Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP (DC)

1050 Connecticut Ave NW

Suite 300

Washington, DC 20036-5306

202-955-8500 Fax: 202-530-4247

Email: Mdziuban@gibsondunn.com

For the Defendants: Jeffrey Robin Hamlin, Esq.

Ifrah Law

1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Suite 650

Washington, DC 20006

202-524-4143 Fax: 202-524-4142

Email: Jhamlin@ifrahlaw.com

Stanley L. Garnett, Esq.

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP

410 17th Street, Suite 2200 Denver, Colorado 80202

303-223-1364

Email: Sgarnett@bhfs.com

Court Reporter: Scott L. Wallace, RDR, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 2231-5798

202-277-3739

Email: Scottwallace.edva@gmail.com

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1

2

.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
would say we've gone a year, we've indulged them at every turn.
The issue now is not trying to answer what they were ordered to
answer in November, it's sanction them by way of preclusion and
that --
```

THE COURT: Ms. Papez, I just have to tell you, that's just not the way this Court operates.

I don't operate that way, and I don't think any other judge of this Court operates that way, that you sit back for six months and not bring another motion to compel, not bring it to the attention of the Court, and then just ask for sanctions, so that's not going to happen.

So, if you're telling me that you don't need anymore documents, and I'm telling you that I'm not going to grant sanctions, then what's the point of this? Because if you show -you've got the evidence here to show that money is missing, so then you can go back before Judge O'Grady and, you know, file an appropriate motion for him in terms of the TRO, but what is the point now of this motion? What is it that you are asking me to do shy of sanctions, because I'm not going to grant that.

MS. PAPEZ: Well, Your Honor, if you're not going to grant the sanctions for failure to answer the discovery that the Court already compelled in November, then, respectfully, if they maintain that they have an answer to this, the Court should order them to produce it.

THE COURT: Well, that's what I'm asking you. Okay. All

```
1
            THE COURT: Okay. So I'm looking at your privilege log
 2
     here, which is dozens and hundreds of pages.
 .3
           MR. GARNETT: 6300.
            THE COURT: Okay. So you had 6300 communications here?
 4
 5
           MR. GARNETT: Yes.
 6
            THE COURT: And these were all communications with
 7
     counsel?
 8
           MR. GARNETT: Yes.
 9
            THE COURT: So, how am I not seeing counsel on these
10
     e-mails? For instance, I'm looking at these and these are not
11
     with counsel, most of them, that I can see.
12
           MR. GARNETT: Well, Your Honor --
           THE COURT: Maybe I'm missing something here.
13
14
           MR. GARNETT: We put together what we considered to be a
15
     very detailed privilege log and served it in December with the
16
     additional documents that were provided then. We have never
17
     heard from counsel what they believe the problem is with the
18
     privilege log. The issue is Mr. Watson and North Star involved a
19
     number of law firms in Denver, as did other defendants in the
20
     case, and there were communications with all of them that relate
21
     to -- and again, the key issue in the case at this point is
22
     simply --
23
           THE COURT: Who is BHFS?
24
           MR. GARNETT: BHFS is my law firm, Your Honor, Brownstein
25
     Hyatt Farber Schreck.
```

review of the devices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to order that the defendants completely answer Interrogatory Number 2, and you must fully address all the deficiencies that counsel pointed out, and especially on page 9 of their motion. You have to re-answer it, identify them by Bates numbers, specifically as to each transaction, explain where the money went.

You're going to have to account for this missing 18 million or additional 5 or whatever it is as well. He should know where it went, and it's -- and if this predates April 2020, then so be it. He's going to have to account for all this money and where it went.

He's going to have to also completely re-answer Interrogatories 3, 5 and 6 completely. Since you told me you have not withheld anything pursuant to privilege objections from the electronic devices, I'm going to order that you produce directly from your third-party vendor the imaging of those devices directly to counsel.

I'm going to make this subject to counsels' eyes only, since it may have personal, private information in there, but the defendant is free to run their own searches then on those documents on those electronic devices.

As to the privilege log, Ms. Papez, I would like you to pick out 20 documents that you think are representative of what your concern is as to the privilege. I will then review those

```
in-camera. So, if you can identify those, say, mid-week next
 1
 2
     week, counsel, I'd like you to produce those specific 20
     documents within five days after that, and I will review them
 3
     in-camera. If they are not -- and I will need an explanation
 4
 5
     from you as to why you believe those specific documents are
 6
     privileged. Tell me who the players are and why it's privileged,
 7
     and if I find the privilege was improperly taken, then I will
 8
     relook at whether you can claim any privilege at all as to most
 9
     of these.
10
            So, I'm going to grant a motion in part as to compel.
     going to deny as to sanctions. And I do -- I am going to order
11
12
     that you produce everything to them within ten days from today.
13
     That's re-answer the interrogatories, re-answer the request for
14
     productions, and produce any documents within ten days.
15
            After that, Ms. Papez, you can bring a motion before Judge
16
     O'Grady as to what you think is appropriate, given that
17
     there's -- if there's still, assuming, missing money. All right.
18
     The Court stands in recess.
19
            (Proceedings adjourned at 10:31 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		
2		
3	CERTIFICATE	
4		
5	I, Scott L. Wallace, RDR-CRR, certify tha the foregoing transcript of proceedings was prepared from an FTR Gold audio recording of proceedings in the above-entitled matter and was produced to the best of my ability. Indiscernible indications in the transcript indicate that the audio captured was not clear enough to	
6		
7		
8	attest to its accuracy.	
9	/s/ Scott L. Wallace	7/12/21
10	Scott L. Wallace, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter	Date
11	Official Coult Reporter	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
22 23		
23		
23 24		